

Introduction

The NCDOT Division Engineers are required by STI legislation to develop a local input methodology for all transportation projects (highway, bike and pedestrian, public transportation, aviation, rail and ferry) within their respective areas that may compete for state funding. In conjunction with our continuous, cooperative and comprehensive planning relationship with local Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) and Rural Planning Organizations (RPOs), NCDOT Division 10 Engineers have developed the following project solicitation process and local input methodology.

Applicability

The project solicitation process will apply to all projects submitted by the Division Engineer, and the local input methodology will apply to all projects (regional impact and division needs) to be ranked by the Division Engineer within their geographic boundaries (and adjacent boundaries if a given project spans more than one Division).

Schedule Details

Project Solicitation:

Each transportation Division will solicit candidate projects for 30 days prior to the project submittal deadline. The results of this process will be reviewed with each of the MPOs and RPOs in the Division, appropriate NCDOT Transit Division (all modes) staff, and local aviation, rail and public transit operators prior to submitting new candidate projects. Project suggestions received will be shared and coordinated with the respective MPO and/or RPO in each Division and with appropriate NCDOT transit division staff to avoid duplication and ensure maximum number of project submittals per Division is not exceeded. The Division will then submit the selected project list using NCDOT's SPOT On!ine tool (web based system) for quantitative scoring no later than the project submittal deadline.

Project Ranking:

The Division 10 Engineer will evaluate the full list of new and previously evaluated projects for the Division between June and August 2014 using this methodology and assigning local input points in consultation with the MPOs and RPOs in the division, and appropriate NCDOT Transit Division (all modes) staff for submission to the Strategic Prioritization Office of Transportation (SPOT) by August 29th, 2014.

Public Input Process

Project Solicitation:

The Division will announce a 30 day project solicitation period to all governments, MPOs, RPOs, NCDOT staff, local airport, rail and transit operators, and interested persons in the Division's geographic boundaries using methods approved by the NCDOT Communications Office. In addition, the Division will host public hearings at a central location within each Division during the 30 day project solicitation period. Information regarding the public hearing and specific methods for providing input (email, phone, mail, etc.) will be advertised to stakeholders using methods approved by the NCDOT Communications Office. Comments received via public hearings and other methods approved by the NCDOT Communications Office will be posted to the NCDOT website. The results of the 30 day project solicitation period and the public input received will be reviewed by the Division Engineer in consultation with the MPOs and RPOs in the Division, appropriate NCDOT transit division staff, and local aviation, rail and transit operators. Through this collaboration, the Division Engineer will determine the list of candidate projects to submit for technical evaluation, while avoiding duplicate project submissions and ensuring the maximum number of project submittals is not exceeded. The Division Engineer will be able to submit new transportation projects (across all modes) based upon the P3.0 Workgroup and Department's agreed upon allowances.

Project Ranking:

The Division Engineer will receive the quantitative scores for the projects eligible for local input points in May of 2014. The Division Engineer will be responsible for assigning local input points to regional impact and division needs projects for their area (statewide mobility projects will be evaluated based solely on their technical scores). The Division Engineer will publish his/her local input methodology which will be used as the basis to assign preliminary points to all regional impact and division needs projects within their division and/or adjacent divisions using methods approved by the NCDOT Communications Office. Each Division Engineer's office will then announce a 30 day comment period to solicit input on this information and the preliminary local input point assignments and provide specific methods for providing input (email, phone, mail, etc.) as approved by the NCDOT Communications Office. The 30 day comment period will vary by Division, and will take place during the 90 day window (June 2nd – August 29th, 2014) for assigning local input points. During this period, each Division will host public drop-in/workshop sessions at a central location within each Division prior to the final assignment of local input points by August 29, 2014. Advertisement soliciting input during the 30 day comment period and for the drop-in/workshop sessions will be made to the public and to MPOs, RPOs, NCDOT staff, local airport, rail and transit operators, and interested persons in the Division's geographic boundaries using methods approved by the NCDOT Communications Office.

The Division Engineer will review comments received in accordance with his/her local input methodology and in consultation with the MPOs and RPOs in the Division, appropriate NCDOT Transit Division (all modes) staff, and local aviation, rail and transit operators. Through this evaluation and collaboration, the Division Engineer will determine the final local input point assignments per eligible regional impact and division needs project within their division and/or to projects in adjacent divisions to submit for final evaluation. All final point assignments will be published using methods approved by the NCDOT Communications Office.

Ranking Process

Introduction:

The criteria outlined below will be used to create a ranking of projects in the regional impact and division needs categories that will be used by the Division Engineer in determining preliminary and final local input point assignments for projects within their division and/or to projects in adjacent divisions. The Department's quantitative scores for projects and this ranking process will act as a guide and first step in determining a preliminary rank-ordered list of projects.

The second step is to apply the Division Methodology to all projects in the preliminary rankordered list of projects. This application may reorder the ranking of the projects. The third step is to apply qualitative points to specific projects according to the methodology outlined later.

Below is the standardized list of criteria used in developing a set of ranking criteria for Division 10. The combination of criteria selected for the regional impact and division needs ranking processes is most reflective of the needs and priorities for Division 10. For each criterion selected, a detailed description is provided (including any pertinent information regarding data sets to be used). In developing the list of criteria for Division 10, a minimum of four criteria were chosen from the standardized list and the weight for each criteria is such that the total possible points for a given project is equal to 100. The Division Engineer will publish their specific set of criteria using methods approved by the NCDOT Communications Office prior to/in conjunction with posting preliminary point assignments for projects within their division and/or to projects in adjacent divisions.

Standard Criteria – Descriptions:

- **Existing Congestion:** a measure of the volume/capacity ratio of a facility or transit service taken from SPOT data.
- Safety Score: a calculation based on the crash frequency and severity along sections of a
 particular roadway. The safety score is the score generated in the quantitative scoring
 process and is calculated in accordance with the SPOT calculation detailed in appendix 1
 of this document.
- **Cost Effectiveness:** a calculation of the cost per vehicle to improve a road one mile. This calculation allows different types of roads to be compared based on how much it costs to improve the road per individual vehicle.
- **Freight Volume:** the number of trucks or equivalent vehicles that utilize the facility on a daily basis. Percentage of truck volume of average daily traffic converted to a number of trucks or equivalent.
- **Transportation Plan Consistency:** a yes or no question to determine if the proposed project is found in an existing adopted transportation plan for the area.
- **Corridor Continuity:** a measure of the project completing or continuing improvements on a defined transportation corridor.
- **Multimodal Accommodations:** a yes or no measure of the incorporation of pedestrian, bicycle or transit elements into a project.
- **Serves Activity Center(s):** a yes or no measure of the project serving a large employment center, trauma center, institution of higher learning, tourist center or other high traffic facility/site.

- **Airport Passenger Service:** a yes or no measure of the project materially improving an airport's ability to increase passenger service capacity.
- **Transit Expansion:** a yes or no measure of the project expanding passenger service on existing routes or opening new routes for increased service.

Regional Impact Ranking:

Regional Impact Ranking:

Certain highway, aviation, ferry, transit, and rail projects are scored at the regional impact level, as well as any projects that cascade into the regional impact category from the statewide mobility category.

Below is a standard ranking of criteria eligible for use by the Division Engineer in evaluating projects in the regional impact category. The resulting scores and rank order will be used by the Division Engineer in developing preliminary and final local input point assignments for projects within their division and/or to projects in adjacent divisions. The Department's quantitative scores for projects and this ranking process will act as a guide and first step in determining a preliminary rank-ordered list of projects. The Division Engineer will use the preliminary rank- ordered list of projects along with local knowledge as well as information gathered through collaboration and consultation with MPOs, RPOs, local airport, rail and transit operators and input from other interested stakeholders to determine the actual assignment of qualitative points.

Regional Impact Standard Ranking – Criteria and Weights (Note: Choose minimum of four criteria and determine percent weights; total points for any given project cannot exceed 100)						
Criteria	0 Points	3.75 Points	7.5 Points	11.25 Points	15 Points	
Existing	Volume to capacity	Volume to	Volume to	Volume to	Volume	
Congestion	less than 0.5	capacity	capacity	capacity	to	
15		between 0.51	between 0.76	between	Capacity	
(% weight)		and 0.75	and 0.9	0.91 and	over	
Criteria	0 Points	5 Points	10 Points	15 Points		
Safety Score	SPOT safety	SPOT safety	SPOT safety	SPOT		
15	points less than 30	points	points	safety		
(% weight)		between 31-50	between 51-65	points		
Criteria	0 Points	2.5 Points	5 Points	10 Points		
Cost	Cost per	Cost per	Cost per	Cost per	Cost per	
Effectiveness	Veh./equivalent	Veh./equivalen	Veh./equivalen	Veh/equiv	Veh/equi	
10	greater than \$4000	t between	t between	alent	valent	
_	per mile	\$2000-\$4000	\$1500-\$1999	between	less than	
(% weight)		per mile	per mile	\$1000-	\$1000	

Criteria	0 Points	5 Points	10 Points	
Freight Volume	Less than 500 trucks/	Between 500 - 1000 trucks/	More than 1000 trucks/	
	equivalent per day	equivalent per	equivalent per	
(% weight)		day	day	
Criteria	0 Points	5 Points		
Transportation	Project is not in	Project is in		
Plan	CTP of TP	CTP or TP		
Consistency 5				
(% weight)				
Criteria	0 Points	7.5 Points	15 Points	
Corridor	Project does	Project does	Project	
Continuity	not complete or	continue	completes	
15	continue corridor improvement	corridor improvement	corridor	
(% weight)	improvement	improvement		
Criteria	0 Points	5 Points		
Multimodal	Project does not	Project does		
Accommodati	include ped/bike/	include		
ons 5	transit facilities	ped/bike/ transit		
(% weight)		facilities		
(70 Weight)		racineles		
Criteria	0 Points	7.5 Points	15 Points	
Serves Activity	Serves	Project adds	Project adds	
Center	employment	new capacity	significant new	
15	centers of fewer	to serve	capacity to	
	than 500	employment	serve	
	employees, trauma centers,	centers of 500 to 1500	employee centers with	
	institutions of	employees,	more than	
	higher learning,	trauma	1500	
	tourist centers, or	centers,	employees,	
	other high traffic	institutions of	trauma	
	centers	higher learning,	centers, institutions of	
		tourist centers,	higher	
		or other high	learning,	
		traffic centers	tourist centers,	
			or other high	
			traffic centers	

Criteria	0 Points	5 Points		
Airport	Project does not	Project		
Passenger	increase capacity	increases		
Service		capacity		
5				
(% weight)				
Criteria	0 Points	5 Points		
Transit	No service	Expands		
Expansion	expansion	service		
5				
(% weight)				

Division Needs Ranking:

Certain highway, aviation, bicycle and pedestrian, ferry, transit, and rail projects are scored at the division needs level, as well as any projects that cascade into the division needs category from the regional impact category.

Below is a standard ranking of criteria eligible for use by the Division Engineer in evaluating projects in the division needs category. The resulting scores and rank order will be used by the Division Engineer in developing preliminary and final local input point assignments for projects within their division and/or to projects in adjacent divisions. The Department's quantitative scores for projects and this ranking process will act as a guide and first step in determining a preliminary rank-ordered list of projects. Each Division Engineer will use the preliminary rank-ordered list of projects along with local knowledge as well as information gathered through collaboration and consultation with MPOs, RPOs, local airport, rail and transit operators and input from other interested stakeholders to determine the actual assignment of qualitative points.

Criteria	0 Points	and determine perce 10 Points	20 Points	
	Volume to	Volume to	Volume to	
	capacity less	Cap.	capacity	
	than 0.5	between	over	
Existing	(roads and	0.51 and	0.75 (roads	
•	rail), existing	0.75 (roads	and rail), no	
Congestion	facilities	and rail),	facilities/	
20	available	intermittent	transit	
(% weight)	(other	or	available	
	modes)	incomplete	(other	
		facilities/	modes)	
		transit		

Criteria	0 Points	5 Points	10 Points	15 Points	20 Points
Safety Score		Spot safety	Spot safety	Spot safety	
20	Spot safety	points	points	points	Spot safety
(% weight)	points less	between 31	between 51	between 66	points
	than 30	and 50	and 65	and 80	greater than
Criteria	0 Points	5 Points	10 Points	15 Points	20 Points
Cost-	Cost per daily	Cost per daily	Cost per daily	Cost per daily	Cost per daily
Effectiveness	user greater	user between	user between		user less than
20	than \$4,000	\$2,000-	\$1,500-	\$1,000-	\$999 per user
(% weight)	per user per	\$4,000 per	\$1,999 per	\$1,499 per	per unit per
	unit per mile	user per unit	user per unit	user per unit	mile
Criteria	0 Points	5 Points			
Iransportation	Project is not	Project is in			
Plan	in adopted	an adopted			
Consistency	land use,	land use,			
5	transportatio	transportatio			
(% weight)	n, transit or	n, transit or			
	other plan	other plan		,	
Criteria	0 Points	10 Points			
	Project does	Project			
Accommoda-	not include	includes			
tions	bike/ped/	bike/ped/			
10	transit	transit			
(% weight)	facilities	facilities			
				P	
Criteria	0 Points	7.5 Points	15 Points		
Serves Activity	Serves	Project adds	Project adds		
40000000	employment	new capacity	significant		
15	centers of	to serve	new capacity		
- 400000	fewer than	employment	to serve		
. •	500	centers of	employee		
	employees,	500 to 1500	centers with		
	trauma	employees,	more than		
	centers,	trauma	1500		
	institutions of	centers,	employees,		
	higher	institutions of	trauma		
	learning,	higher	centers,		
	tourist	learning,	institutions of		
	centers, or	tourist	higher		
	other high	centers, or	learning,		
	traffic centers	other high	tourist		
	a arric certiers	traffic centers	centers, or		
		dianic centers	other high		
			traffic centers		
			Garrie Certers		

Criteria	0 Points	5 Points		
Airport	Project does	Project		
Passenger	not increase	increases		
Service	capacity	capacity		
5				
(% weight)				
Criteria	0 Points	5 Points		
Transit	No service	Expands		
Expansion	expansion	service	A	
5			A	
(% weight)				

Division's Local Points Assignment:

The result of the application of the ranking methodology will be a list of projects in priority order. The next step is to assign the Division's qualitative points to specific projects. Division 10 has 2,500 points to allocate among Regional projects and 2,500 points to allocate among Division projects.

The Division will assign its 2,500 Regional points among modes and project types according to the following target allocation:

The result of the application of the ranking methodology will be a list of projects in priority order. The next step is to assign the Division's qualitative points to specific projects.

Division 10 has 2500 points to allocate among Regional projects and 2500 points to allocate among Division projects.

- 1500 points to Highway
- 500 points to Public Transit Expansion and Facilities
- 500 points could be assigned to any mode and project type

The Division will assign its 2,500 Division points among modes and project types according to the following target allocation:

- 1000 points to Highway
- 500 points to Public Transit Expansion and Facilities
- 500 points to Bicycle and Pedestrian
- 500 points could be assigned to any mode and project type

The Division will assign points within each mode and project type in order of the rankings from above. However exceptions may be made if the project costs more than the funding available in that category, or if the project will not be competitive within the specific category even with the application of qualitative points, or if the project will remain competitive in the absence of assigning qualitative points. Since funding in the Division category is limited, Statewide or Regional projects that cascade down to the Division level may not be considered for Division qualitative points if the project cost is excessive.

Distribution of the unassigned points in the Regional and Division categories will be determined by:

- the number of eligible projects within each level and mode;
- the likelihood of receiving funding through STI considering the amount of funding available within each Division and/or Region;
- limitations set by the STI legislation; and
- geographic and jurisdictional balance.

The specific reasoning behind the allocation of qualitative points will be documented by Division 10 and posted to NCDOT's website.

During the period that the draft point assignment is released for public comment, Division 10 may make further adjustments to the qualitative point assignment recommendation based on the above factors as well as:

- coordination with planning organizations within the Division on the assignment of points; and
- public input and support as evidenced through public comments submitted to NCDOT, Division 10's public workshop, public involvement efforts of local governments, and local referenda.

Approval of Ranking Points

Division 10 will release the draft Project Priority Ranking and application of qualitative points for public comments and hold a public hearing within the 90 day public comment period between June and August 2014. After review and public comment, Division 10 will finalize the application of qualitative points based upon:

- the number of eligible projects within the Division within each funding mode /project type/category;
- the likelihood of receiving funding through STI considering the amount of funding available within each Division or Region, historical funding levels for the mode, and the normalization limitations that have been adopted;

- the effect that receiving funding for a project may have on the likelihood of other projects being funded in the Division or Region considering the limitations set by the STI legislation;
- geographic and jurisdictional balance;
- coordination with planning organizations within the Division on the assignment of points;
- public input and support as evidenced through public comments submitted to NCDOT,
 Division 10's public hearing, public involvement efforts of local governments, and local referenda; and
- Division Engineer's knowledge of the transportation needs of their Division.

If the Division varies from the recommended allocation of qualitative points, we will document the rationale and will post on NCDOT's website.

STI will allow us to use our existing resources more efficiently and effectively and help us move forward with important projects that will enhance mobility and revitalize communities throughout the state. The new process encourages us to think from a statewide and regional perspective while also providing flexibility to address local needs.

With this in mind, it is important now more than ever to coordinate with all of the key stakeholders in Division 10. The following is a list of our key stakeholders:

MPO/RPO

Charlotte Regional Transportation Planning Organization (CRTPO)
Cabarrus-Rowan Metropolitan Planning Organization (CRMPO)
Rocky River Rural Planning Organization (RRRPO)

<u>Airports</u>

Charlotte-Douglas International Airport Charlotte-Monroe Executive Airport Concord Regional Airport

Public Transit

Charlotte Area Transit System (CATS) Concord-Kannapolis Transit System

County Government

Mecklenburg County
Cabarrus County

Anson County Stanly County Union County

Municipal Government

Marshville Peachland Albemarle Pineville Ansonville Marvin Badin Matthews Polkton Charlotte McFarlan **Red Cross** Midland Richfield Concord Cornelius Mineral Springs **Stallings** Davidson Mint Hill Stanfield Misenheimer Wadesboro Fairview Waxhaw Harrisburg Monroe Huntersville Morven Weddington Wesley Chapel Indian Trail **Mount Pleasant** Kannapolis **New London** Wingate

Norwood

Oakboro

NCDOT Divisions

Lilesville

Locust

Aviation Division
Bicycle & Pedestrian Division
Division of Public Transportation
Rail Division

Transportation Planning Branch